

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/520,947                                     | 03/08/2000      | Norman Paul Formo    | FPMI114707              | 1127             |  |
|  | 7590 08/30/2002 |                      |                         |                  |  |
| CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| SUITE 2800                                     |                 |                      |                         | MADSEN, ROBERT A |  |
| SEATTLE, W                                     | A 98101-2347    |                      |                         |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                 |                      | 1761                    | />               |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/30/2002 | /8               |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |               |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| - Advisory Action   | 09/520,947   | FORMO, NORMAN   | PAUL          |  |  |  |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |               |  |  |  |
|   | Robert Madsen  | 1761  |               |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence addr  | 'ess          |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in a statutory period for the | f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. So  36(a) and the appropriate extent the final Office actions or (i) | extension fee |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:   |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>   |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See attached office action</u> .   |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (<br>explanation of how the new or amended claims wo  | s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b)<br>uld be rejected is provided belo   | ⊠ will be entered a<br>w or appended.   | nd an         |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: none.   |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: none.   |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>25-35</u> .   |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .  |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a  | a) approved or b) disapp   | roved by the Examir   | ner.          |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |  |   |               |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |               |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |               |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office  |  |   |               |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed August 19, 2002 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection will be entered upon the filing of an appeal, but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. Upon the filing of an appeal and entry of the amendment, the status of the claims would be as follows:

Allowed claim(s): None

Rejected claim(s): 25-35

Claim(s) objected to: None

## Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re* Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Applicant argues that there is no motivation to use the McEachen bag as the inner bag of Morris. McEachen is relied for teach a package with a perforated end to access bread held within the package, wherein the package is a bag filled with bread 'Application/Control Number: 09/520,947

Art Unit: 1761

wherein the bag has a perforated end for accessibility. Morris teaches package with a perforated end to access bread held within the package, wherein the package is a wrapper. Since both teach a *package* with a perforated end that provides access to the bread held inside, one is substituting one method of *forming*, with respect to claim 25, or one *type of*, with respect to claim 32, a bread package for another with the same feature: a perforated accessible end.

Furthermore, applicant argues there is no suggestion to combine Theed with Morris. Morris teaches the inner bag is sealed. Theed teaches a conventional method of sealing bread to protect the bread during storage. Theed teaches shrink-wrapping to kill bacteria. Thus, one would be motivated to combine this feature since it is desirable to have minimal microbial problems with the bread.

## Conclusion

The shortened statutory period for reply expires THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this advisory action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Any extension fee required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for reply expires as set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Madsen whose telephone number is (703)305-0068. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM M-F.

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703)308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0061.

Robert Madsen Examiner Art Unit 1761 August 28, 2002

STEVE WEINSTEIN PRIMARY

78/02 176